84. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Secretary shall send a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration in accordance with paragraph 603(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Pub. L. No. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. § 601 et seq (1981).

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William F. Caton Acting Secretary

26

LIST OF COMMENTERS

Comments: 1991

American Home Satellite Association

Associated Communications

Earth Terminal TV Ltd.

General Instrument Corporation

Hughes Network Systems

Michael Couzens

National Association of Broadcasters

Satellite Dealers Association of Michigan

Satellite Dealers' Coalition

Tandy Corporation

United States Satellite Broadcasting Co., Inc.

Video Services Corporation and Atlantic Satellite Communications

Reply Comments: 1991

American Satellite Television Alliance

EDS Video Services

Hughes Communications Galaxy, Inc.

Orange County Broadcasting Corporation

Tandy Corporation

Comments: July 12, 1993

Abbott, Robert J. (City of Cape Canaveral)

American Radio Relay League, Inc.

American Satellite Television Alliance

Association for Maximum Service Television

EDS Corporation

GE American Communications, Inc.

GTE Spacenet Corporation

Home Box Office

Hughes Network Systems, Inc.

Melville Corporation

National League of Cities

National Association of Broadcasters

Northwest Municipal Cable Council (on behalf of 7 cities and villages)

Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association of America

Schlumberger Technology Corporation

Target Stores

John C. Thomas

The TJX Companies, Inc.

Toys "R" Us

W. James MacNaughton, Esq.

Walgreens

Reply Comments: August 16, 1993

Association for Maximum Service Television

City of St. Louis

DirecTv, Inc.

Home Box Office

Hughes Network Systems, Inc.

National Association of Broadcasters

Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association of America

United States Satellite Broadcasting Company, Inc.

Motion to Accept Supplemental Reply Comments and Supplemental Reply Comments --Hughes Network Systems, Inc. (August 31, 1993)

Letters filed in support (various dates):

Alfred Ricks, Jr.

Building Officials & Code Administrators International, Inc.

Camco Cable Service

Chris TV

Chrysler Corporation

Circuit City Stores, Inc.

City of Livonia (MI)

City of St. Louis (MO) (additional letter)

Congressman Billy Tauzin

County Council of Baltimore County (MD)

CPI Datanet

Edward D. Jones & Co.

FootAction U.S.A.

ICN/Dataline, LTD.

Kohl's Department Stores

Melville Corporation

Midwest Star Satellite TV

Montgomery Ward & Co.

Pathmark Stores, Inc.

Piedmont Triad Council of Governments

Prince George's County Government, Office of Citizen and Consumer Affairs

Service Merchandise

ShopKo Stores, Inc.

Southern California Chapter of NATOA

Thomson Consumer Electronics

Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

Wincom Systems

Other Documents:

United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit -- Town of Deerfield, NY v. FCC, -- Decision

For the reasons set forth in the NPRM, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend Title 47, Part 25 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 25 continues to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: Sections 25.101 to 25.601 issued under Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interpret or apply secs. 101-104, 76 Stat. 416-427; 47 U.S.C. 701-744; 47 U.S.C. 554.

- 2. Section 25.104 is revised to read as follows:
 - (a) Any state or local land-use, building, or similar regulation that substantially limits reception by receive-only antennas, or imposes substantial costs on users of such antennas, is preempted unless the promulgating authority can demonstrate that such regulation is reasonable in relation to:
 - (1) a clearly defined, and expressly stated health, safety, or aesthetic objective; and
 - (2) the federal interest in fair and effective competition among competing communications service providers.
 - (b) Any regulation covered by paragraph (a) of this section shall be presumed unreasonable if it affects the installation, maintenance, or use of:
 - (1) a satellite receive-only antenna that is two meters or less in diameter and is located or proposed to be located in any area where commercial or industrial uses are generally permitted by local land-use regulation: or
 - (2) a satellite receive-only antenna that is one meter or less in diameter in any area.
 - (c) Any presumption arising from paragraph (b) of this section may be rebutted upon a showing that the regulation in question
 - (1) is necessary to accomplish a clearly defined and expressly stated health or safety objective;
 - (2) is no more burdensome to satellite users that is necessary to achieve the health or safety objective;
 - (3) is specifically applicable to antennas of the class mentioned in paragraph (b).

- (d) Regulation of satellite transmitting antennas is preempted to the same extent as provided in paragraph (a) of this rule, except that state and local health and safety regulations relating to radio frequency radiation of transmitting antennas are not preempted by this rule.
- (e) Any person aggrieved by the application or potential application of a state or local zoning or other regulation in violation of paragraph (a) of this section may, after exhausting all nonfederal administrative remedies, file a petition with the Commission requesting a declaration that the state or local regulation in question is preempted by this section. Nonfederal administrative remedies, which do not include judicial appeals of administrative determinations, shall be deemed exhausted when
 - (1) the petitioner's application for a permit or other authorization required by the state or local authority has been denied and any administrative appeal has been exhausted;
 - (2) the petitioner's application for a permit or other authorization required by the state or local authority has been pending with that authority for ninety days;
 - (3) the petitioner has been informed that a permit or other authorization required by the state or local authority will be conditioned upon the petitioner's expenditure of an amount greater than the aggregate purchase and installation costs of the antenna; or
 - (4) a state or local authority has notified the petitioner of impending civil or criminal action in a court of law and there are no more nonfederal administrative steps to be taken.
- (f) Any state or local authority that wishes to maintain and enforce zoning or other regulations inconsistent with this section may apply to the Commission for a full or partial waiver of this section. Such waivers may be granted by the Commission in its sole discretion, upon a showing by the applicant that local concerns of a highly specialized or unusual nature create an overwhelming necessity for regulation inconsistent with this section. No application for waiver shall be considered unless it includes the particular regulation for which waiver is sought. Waivers granted according to this rule shall not apply to later-enacted or amended regulations by the local authority unless the Commission expressly orders otherwise.

APPENDIX III

Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Reason for Action

The rulemaking is initiated to obtain comment on the proposed changes to the Commission's zoning preemption rule, 47 CFR §25.104.

Objectives

The Commission seeks to evaluate whether the proposed changes to the satellite antenna zoning preemption rule will facilitate the installation of antennas and assist in the development of satellite based technologies.

Legal Basis

The proposed action is authorized under Sections 4(i) and 303 (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154 (i) and 303 (r).

Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements

Satellite antenna users who have exhausted local administrative remedies may bring complaints to the Commission regarding overly restrictive zoning ordinances and local governments can petition the Commission for waiver of the rule.

Federal rules that Overlap, Duplicate or Conflict With These Requirements

None

Description, Potential Impact and Number of Small Entities Involved

Any policies or regulations adopted in this proceeding could affect small businesses that install or use satellite antennas. In addition, small governmental entities will be affected by any rule changes and may have to reevaluate their satellite antenna zoning policies.

Any Significant Alternatives Minimizing the Impact on Small Entities Consistent With the Stated Objectives

This Notice solicits comments on any suggested alternatives.